

IMSc/2012/06/14

General Static (Intersecting) Brane Solutions

S. Kalyana Rama

Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C. I. T. Campus,
Tharamani, CHENNAI 600 113, India.

email: krama@imsc.res.in

ABSTRACT

We present one extra parameter worth of new static brane and intersecting brane solutions in string/M theory. These general solutions may be obtained by solving the equations of motion directly. But, in this letter, we first obtain the general eleven dimensional vacuum solutions, and then generate the brane solutions by U duality operations – namely boosting, dimensional reduction and uplifting, and S and T dualities. Such general solutions will be needed in the study of higher dimensional brane stars and their collapse.

String/M theory lives in ten/eleven dimensional spacetime and, upon compactification, is expected to describe our observed universe. Indeed, among other things, it has given a microscopic description of extremal and near extremal black holes: Starting with an effective action, the charged black holes are obtained from the equations of motion [1] – [5]. Their microscopic details in the extremal and near extremal limit are then well described in terms intersecting brane configurations in string/M theory and the low energy excitations living on them. Far-from-extremal cases are also expected to be described by similar configurations [2].

More ambitiously, one may attempt within string/M theory to describe stable stars, their collapse when sufficiently massive, and the final collapsed objects – black holes or otherwise. Given the success of black hole descriptions in terms of branes, one may naturally start by taking similar brane systems to be the constituents of the stars. Then, using appropriate equations of state and appropriate form of the line element describing such constituent brane systems, one may develop Oppenheimer – Volkoff type solutions for the static stars and Oppenheimer – Snyder type solutions for the collapsing ones. Such studies may provide insights into cosmic censorship conjecture. They may also be relevant to Mathur’s fuzz ball proposal [6], according to which there is no horizon and the region within $\mathcal{O}(1)$ times the Schwarzschild radius is modified and is filled with string/M theoretic fuzz.

A crucial step in such studies is the matching of the interior solutions to the exterior ones. A pre requisite for this step is, clearly, the knowledge of the general exterior solutions. In four dimensional spherically symmetric case, Birkhoff’s theorem guarantees that Schwarzschild and Reissner – Nordstrom solutions are the general exterior solutions. To our knowledge, no similar theorem is known in higher dimensional case with compact directions. Hence, in the attempt to study string/M theoretic stars, one first needs to know the general exterior solutions.

In the ten/eleven dimensional static case, besides the standard intersecting brane solutions [1] – [5], a class of Kasner type multiparameter solutions are already known [7, 8]; but no other class of static solutions. It turns out that the relevant equations of motion have not been solved most generally and, thereby, one parameter worth of solutions are missed. Invariably in all these works, some ansatz is invoked directly or indirectly while solving, thus limiting the generality of the solutions.

Invoking no such limiting ansatz, we have obtained the general static

solutions. The existing solutions then follow as particular cases. In this letter, we present these general solutions for branes and intersecting branes.

The relevant string/M theory effective action may be written in the standard notation as

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left(\mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 + \sum_{I=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{e^{\lambda_{p_I} \phi} (dA_{p_I+1})^2}{2(p_I+2)!} \right) . \quad (1)$$

For string theory branes, $D = 10$, ϕ is the dilaton field, and $\lambda_p = -1, +1$, or $\frac{3-p}{2}$ for fundamental strings, NS5 branes, or Dp branes respectively. For M theory branes, $D = 11$ and ϕ is absent. We consider the static case. The appropriate line element ds for describing the branes and intersecting branes is then given by

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\psi} dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\lambda^i} (dx^i)^2 + e^{2\lambda} dr^2 + e^{2\sigma} d\Omega_m^2 \quad (2)$$

where x^i denote the toroidal brane directions and Ω_m denotes an m dimensional unit sphere. All the fields are functions of r only.

The equations of motion for the fields are derived from the action S . Their solutions may be obtained *e.g.* by solving them directly [1, 2, 7]; or, from extremal solutions using an algorithm [3, 4]; or, by performing U duality operations – namely boosting, dimensional reduction and uplifting, and S and T dualities [9] – on eleven dimensional solutions [5, 8]. In this letter, we follow the method of U duality operations [10] : We first obtain the general solutions for the eleven dimensional vacuum equations of motion, and then generate the general brane solutions by performing necessary U duality operations.

The vacuum equations of motion, $\mathcal{R}_{MN} = 0$, and equation (2) give

$$\Lambda_r^2 - \psi_r^2 - m \sigma_r^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_r^i)^2 = m(m-1) e^{2\lambda-2\sigma} \quad (3)$$

$$\psi_{rr} + (\Lambda_r - \lambda_r) \psi_r = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\lambda_{rr}^i + (\Lambda_r - \lambda_r) \lambda_r^i = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma_{rr} + (\Lambda_r - \lambda_r) \sigma_r = (m-1) e^{2\lambda-2\sigma} \quad (6)$$

where $*_r = \frac{d}{dr} *$, $\Lambda = \psi + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda^i + m\sigma$, and we assume that $m \geq 2$. Equations (4) and (5) give $\psi = a_0 F$, $\lambda^i = a_i F$, and

$$e^{\Lambda-\lambda} F_r = (m-1) r_0^{m-1} \quad (7)$$

where a_0 , a_i , and r_0 are integration constants. Using the freedom in the definition of r , and trading λ for another function f , we define

$$e^{2\sigma} = r^2 e^{2a_\Omega F} \quad , \quad e^{2\lambda} = \frac{e^{2a_\Omega F}}{f} \quad .$$

Thus, the eleven dimensional general vacuum solution is given by

$$ds^2 = -e^{2a_0 F} dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n e^{2a_i F} (dx^i)^2 + e^{2a_\Omega F} (ds_{m+1}^\perp)^2 \quad (8)$$

where

$$(ds_{m+1}^\perp)^2 = \frac{dr^2}{f} + r^2 d\Omega_m^2 \quad (9)$$

describes the $(m+1)$ dimensional transverse space. The constant a_Ω can be set to zero with no loss of generality, but its presence will elucidate certain U duality structure. Let $R = r^{m-1}$, $R_0 = r_0^{m-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + m a_\Omega \quad , \quad K = a_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 + m a_\Omega^2 - A^2 \\ \alpha &= \frac{m}{m + 4(m-1)K} \quad , \quad f_0 = 1 - \alpha \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and assume that the a 's obey the constraints

$$A - a_\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad K \geq 0 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \alpha \leq 1 \quad . \quad (11)$$

Note that $(a_0, a_i, a_\Omega, r_0)$ and $F(r)$ are defined only upto a constant multiplicative factor. This factor is fixed by the first constraint above. The second constraint is imposed here because we are unable to obtain the complete solution when K is negative.

Equations (3), (6), and (7) now give

$$f(RF_R) = 1 - f + \frac{(m-1)K}{m} f(RF_R)^2 \quad (12)$$

$$f(RF_R) = 2(1-f) + Rf_R \quad (13)$$

$$e^{\frac{F}{2}} f^{\frac{1}{2}}(RF_R) = \frac{R_0}{R} \quad (14)$$

where $*_R = \frac{d}{dR}*$. It follows from these equations that $f = e^F = 1 - \frac{R_0}{R}$ for $K = 0$, and that $f \sim e^F \rightarrow 1 - \frac{R_0}{R}$ in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ for $K > 0$. After some algebra, we get

$$Rf_R = \frac{2(1-f)(f-f_0)}{f-f_0 + \epsilon \sqrt{\alpha f(f-f_0)}} \quad (15)$$

$$e^F = \frac{R_0^2 \left(\sqrt{f-f_0} + \epsilon \sqrt{\alpha f} \right)^2}{4\alpha R^2 (1-f)^2} \quad (16)$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and the square roots are always taken with positive signs.

As described in [11], the qualitative behaviour of f and e^F for $K > 0$ follows from the above equations: $\epsilon = +1$ for $R > R_{min}$ and $\epsilon = -1$ for $R < R_{min}$ where $f(R_{min}) = f_0 > 0$; f decreases from 1 to f_0 and then increases to ∞ as R decreases from ∞ to R_{min} to 0; and, e^F decreases monotonically from 1 to 0, remaining $< f$, as R decreases from ∞ to 0. Note that there is no horizon.

Let $h = \epsilon \sqrt{\frac{f-f_0}{\alpha}}$. Hence, $h(R_{min}) = 0$ and h decreases monotonically from 1 to $-\infty$ as R decreases from ∞ to 0. In terms of h , we get

$$f = 1 - \alpha + \alpha h^2, \quad e^F = \frac{R_0^2}{4\alpha^2 R^2} \left(\frac{h + \sqrt{f}}{1 - h^2} \right)^2 \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{dR}{R} = \frac{h + \sqrt{f}}{1 - h^2} dh \quad (18)$$

and $-\infty \leq h \leq 1$. Integrating equation (18) now gives [12]

$$\frac{R_{min}}{R} = \frac{\sqrt{1-\alpha} (1-h) (1+\sqrt{f})}{1-\alpha + \alpha h + \sqrt{f}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{f} + h\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{1-\alpha}} \right)^{\sqrt{\alpha}}. \quad (19)$$

We now have the general solutions for (f, e^F, R) as functions of h , which depend on two parameters R_0 and K . Also, since $f \rightarrow 1 - \frac{R_0}{R}$ in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $h \rightarrow 1 - \frac{R_0}{2\alpha R}$ and, from equation (19), that

$$R_{min} = \frac{c(\alpha)}{\alpha} R_0, \quad c(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1+\sqrt{\alpha}}{2}} \left(1 - \sqrt{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1-\sqrt{\alpha}}{2}}.$$

Further, one may recover the $K = 0$ solution $f = e^F = 1 - \frac{R_0}{R}$ by formally substituting $\alpha = 1$ in equations (17) and (19).

The general string/M theory brane solutions may now be obtained by boosting the general vacuum solution and performing U duality operations. Under a boost *e.g.* along x^n direction with a boost parameter β , we have

$$-e^{2a_0 F} dt^2 + e^{2a_n F} (dx^n)^2 \longrightarrow -\frac{e^{2a_0 F}}{H} dt^2 + e^{2a_n F} H (dx^n + \mathcal{A} dt)^2$$

in equation (8) where

$$H = \mathcal{C}^2 - e^{2wF} \mathcal{S}^2, \quad \mathcal{A} = \frac{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}}{H} (1 - e^{2wF}), \quad (20)$$

$w = a_0 - a_n$, $\mathcal{S} = \sinh \beta$, and $\mathcal{C} = \cosh \beta$. Dimensional reduction along x^n direction then gives $D0$ branes smeared uniformly along x^i directions, $i = 1, \dots, n_s$ ($= n - 1$). This solution, in string frame, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds_S^2 &= -H^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{2b_0 F} dt^2 + H^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_s} e^{2b_i F} (dx^i)^2 + e^{2b_\Omega F} ds_{m+1}^2 \right) \\ e^\phi &= H^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{b_\phi F}, \quad A_0 \propto \mathcal{A}, \quad w = b_0 - b_\phi \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $b_\phi = \frac{3a_n}{2}$ and $b_* = a_* + \frac{a_n}{2}$ for $*$ = $0, i, \Omega$. The b 's must satisfy the constraints given in equation (11) where, by substituting $a_n = \frac{2b_\phi}{3}$ and $a_* = b_* - \frac{b_\phi}{3}$ and defining $A_S = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} b_i + mb_\Omega$, we have

$$A - a_\Omega = A_S - 2b_\phi - b_\Omega \quad (22)$$

$$K = b_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} b_i^2 + mb_\Omega^2 - (A_S - 2b_\phi)^2. \quad (23)$$

The expressions for $(A - a_\Omega)$ and K , written in terms of b 's, remain invariant under T and S dualities; and, written in terms of a 's, remain invariant under further dimensional uplifting [13]. Hence, in the following, we will not distinguish between the original and transformed a 's and b 's and, further, assume that all the a 's and b 's satisfy the constraints in equation (11).

We now proceed to obtain general brane solutions. T dualising $D0$ branes along $(x^1, \dots, x^p)$ directions gives Dp branes. S dualising $D1$ and $D5$ branes gives fundamental strings and $NS5$ branes. Lifting $D2$ and $D4$ branes to eleven dimensions gives $M2$ and $M5$ branes. Performing these operations

using the formulas given in [9], it follows that the general string theory p brane solutions may all be written, in string frame, as

$$\begin{aligned} ds_S^2 &= H^{A_\perp - 1} \left(-e^{2b_0 F} dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p e^{2b_i F} (dx^i)^2 \right) + H^{A_\perp} e^{2b_\Omega F} (ds_{9-p}^\perp)^2 \\ e^\phi &= H^{\frac{\lambda_p}{2}} e^{b_\phi F} , \quad w = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p b_i - 2A_\perp b_\phi \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $\lambda_p = -1, +1, \frac{3-p}{2}$ and $A_\perp = 0, 1, \frac{1}{2}$ for fundamental strings, NS5 branes, and Dp branes respectively. The general M theory p brane solutions may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= H^{\frac{p-8}{9}} \left(-e^{2a_0 F} dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p e^{2a_i F} (dx^i)^2 \right) + H^{\frac{p+1}{9}} e^{2a_\Omega F} (ds_{10-p}^\perp)^2 \\ w &= a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p a_i . \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

In these solutions, the non zero $(p+1)$ -form gauge field component $A_{01\dots p} \propto \mathcal{A}$; the p brane charge $\propto w R_0 \mathcal{SC}$; and, the functions H and $\mathcal{A}$ are given by equations (20), with w given as above. Note that the behaviour of H follows easily from that of e^F : For example, for $w > 0$, the function H increases monotonically from 1 to $\mathcal{C}^2$ as R decreases from ∞ to R_s where $R_s = R_0$ if $K = 0$ and $R_s = 0$ if $K > 0$. Note that there is no horizon in the $K > 0$ case.

Note also that one may formally take Maldacena's decoupling limit. Then H becomes $(1 - e^{2wF}) \mathcal{S}^2$. Since $e^F \rightarrow 1 - \frac{R_0}{R}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that the resulting spacetimes for $D3$, $M2$, and $M5$ branes are asymptotically $AdS_{p+2} \times S^q$ where $(p, q) = (3, 5), (2, 7)$, and $(5, 4)$ respectively. But, now, the interiors of these spacetimes are generically modified. For example, for $K = 0$ in the $D3$ brane case but with $b_0 = b_i$ and $b_\Omega = \frac{b_\phi}{4}$, it can be shown in the limit $R \rightarrow R_0$ that the modified interior spacetime behaves the same way as that found in [14].

The general $\mathcal{N}$ intersecting brane solutions may be generated by performing $\mathcal{N}$ number of boosts and other U duality operations appropriately. For example, the general solution for three stacks of intersecting M2 branes is

given by

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= - (H_2 H_{2'} H_{2''})^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{2a_0 F} dt^2 + \left(\frac{H_{2'} H_{2''}}{H_2^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \sum_{i=1}^2 e^{2a_i F} (dx^i)^2 \\
&+ \left(\frac{H_2 H_{2''}}{H_{2'}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \sum_{i=3}^4 e^{2a_i F} (dx^i)^2 + \left(\frac{H_2 H_{2'}}{H_{2''}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \sum_{i=5}^6 e^{2a_i F} (dx^i)^2 \\
&+ (H_2 H_{2'} H_{2''})^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{2a_\Omega F} (ds_4^\perp)^2 \\
w_2 &= a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i, \quad w_{2'} = a_0 + \sum_{i=3}^4 a_i, \quad w_{2''} = a_0 + \sum_{i=5}^6 a_i \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

where, for $I = (2, 2', 2'')$,

$$H_I = \mathcal{C}_I^2 - e^{2w_I F} \mathcal{S}_I^2, \quad \mathcal{A}_I = \frac{\mathcal{S}_I \mathcal{C}_I}{H_I} (1 - e^{2w_I F}), \quad (27)$$

$\mathcal{S}_I = \sinh \beta_I$, $\mathcal{C}_I = \cosh \beta_I$, and β_I are boost parameters. The non zero 3-form gauge field components $\propto \mathcal{A}_I$ and the brane charges $\propto w_I R_0 \mathcal{S}_I \mathcal{C}_I$.

It turns out, similarly as in [4], that the general brane and intersecting brane solutions may all be written down algorithmically as follows. For M theory case:

- Start with the extremal solution [3].
- In ds^2 , attach the function f to the dr^2 term and the $e^{2a_* F}$ factors to the other terms as in the above solutions. The a 's must satisfy the constraints in equation (11). The functions f and e^F are given by equations (17) where $h(r)$ is implicitly given by equation (19).
- Replace the harmonic and the gauge field functions of the extremal solution by the functions $(H_I, \mathcal{A}_I)$ given in equation (27). The β 's will be determined by the brane charges. The w 's for each stack of branes $= \sum_{wld vol} a_*$, *i.e.* the sum of a 's on its worldvolume.

For string theory case: In the above steps, a 's are replaced by b 's; an $e^{b_\phi F}$ factor is attached for dilaton; and the expression for w has an additional term $= -2A_\perp b_\phi$.

The existing brane and intersecting brane solutions now follow as particular cases of our general solutions : The standard solutions [1] – [5] follow for $K = 0$, $a_0 = b_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, and $a_\times = b_\times = 0$ if $\times \neq 0$. Then $w_I = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$f = e^F = 1 - \frac{r_0^{m-1}}{r^{m-1}} \quad , \quad H_I = 1 + \frac{r_0^{m-1} \mathcal{S}_I^2}{r^{m-1}} \quad .$$

The Kasner type solutions [7, 8] follow for $K = 0$ where, now, the a 's and b 's satisfy the constraints in equation (11) and are otherwise arbitrary. Then (f, e^F) are unchanged and (w_I, H_I) depend on the values of a 's and b 's.

Also, note that $r = r_0$ is a regular horizon for the standard solutions. For other solutions, namely the Kasner type ones where $K = 0$ and the general ones where $K > 0$, the tidal forces can be shown [7, 8, 11] to diverge as $r \rightarrow r_s$ where $r_s = r_0$ if $K = 0$ and $r_s = 0$ if $K > 0$. We take this divergence as implying that there is a naked curvature singularity at r_s .

These singular solutions may simply be ignored by invoking cosmic censorship conjecture and declaring them unphysical. On the other hand, and more constructively, one may use these general solutions and study static brane stars and their collapse. This is likely to provide insights into cosmic censorship. It is also possible, but perhaps far-fetched within the present effective action framework, that the singularities at r_s may be resolved by string/M theoretic effects. Along a different line, one may assume that the present general solutions for $m = 2$ describe the exterior of the actual stars in our universe and, as is being done in several recent works *e.g.* [15, 16], study their experimental signatures.

References

- [1] G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 197 (1991);
M. J. Duff, R. R. Khuri and J. X. Lu,
Phys. Rept. **259**, 213 (1995) [hep-th/9412184];
N. Ohta, Phys. Lett. B **403**, 218 (1997) [hep-th/9702164].
- [2] G. T. Horowitz, J. M. Maldacena and A. Strominger,
Phys. Lett. B **383**, 151 (1996) [hep-th/9603109];
G. T. Horowitz, D. A. Lowe and J. M. Maldacena,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 430 (1996) [hep-th/9603195].

- [3] A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **475**, 149 (1996) [hep-th/9604035];
I. R. Klebanov and A. A. Tseytlin,
Nucl. Phys. B **475**, 179 (1996) [hep-th/9604166];
J. P. Gauntlett, D. A. Kastor and J. H. Traschen,
Nucl. Phys. B **478**, 544 (1996) [hep-th/9604179];
A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **487**, 141 (1997) [hep-th/9609212].
- [4] M. Cvetič and A. A. Tseytlin,
Nucl. Phys. B **478**, 181 (1996) [hep-th/9606033].
- [5] J. G. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin,
Nucl. Phys. B **490**, 121 (1997) [hep-th/9611047];
A. A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. B **395**, 24 (1997) [hep-th/9611111];
N. Ohta and T. Shimizu,
Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **13**, 1305 (1998) [hep-th/9701095];
S. R. Das, S. D. Mathur, S. Kalyana Rama and P. Ramadevi,
Nucl. Phys. B **527**, 187 (1998) [hep-th/9711003].
- [6] S. D. Mathur, Fortsch. Phys. **53**, 793 (2005) [hep-th/0502050];
K. Skenderis and M. Taylor,
Phys. Rept. **467**, 117 (2008) [arXiv:0804.0552 [hep-th]];
B. D. Chowdhury and A. Virmani, arXiv:1001.1444 [hep-th].
- [7] B. Zhou, C. -J. Zhu, [hep-th/9905146];
P. Brax, G. Mandal, Y. Oz,
Phys. Rev. D **63**, 064008 (2001), [hep-th/0005242];
J. D. Edelstein, J. Mas, JHEP **06**, 015 (2004), [hep-th/0403179];
Y. -G. Miao, N. Ohta,
Phys. Lett. B **594**, 218 (2004), [hep-th/0404082];
S. Kobayashi, T. Asakawa, S. Matsuura,
Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20**, 1119 (2005), [hep-th/0409044];
C. -M. Chen, D. V. Gal'tsov and N. Ohta,
Phys. Rev. D **72**, 044029 (2005) [hep-th/0506216].
- [8] S. Kalyana Rama, [hep-th/0503058].
- [9] E. Bergshoeff, C. M. Hull and T. Ortin,
Nucl. Phys. B **451**, 547 (1995) [hep-th/9504081].

- [10] We have also solved the equations of motion directly, but the details are quite involved. We will present them elsewhere.
- [11] S. Kalyana Rama, arXiv:1111.1897 [hep-th].
- [12] Expand $\frac{h+\sqrt{f}}{1-h^2}$ into partial fractions, each of which integrates to $\ln$ or ArcSinh functions. Repeated use of the formulas $\text{ArcSinh} x = \ln(x + \sqrt{1+x^2})$ and $a \pm \sqrt{b} = \frac{a^2-b}{a \mp \sqrt{b}}$ then leads to equation (19), which indeed satisfies equation (18) as may be checked by direct substitution.
- [13] This follows because under a T duality *e.g.* along x^1 direction, the b 's transform as $(b_\phi, b_1, b_{* \neq 1}) \rightarrow (b_\phi - b_1, -b_1, b_{* \neq 1})$ whereas, under an S duality, they transform as $(b_\phi, b_*) \rightarrow (-b_\phi, b_* - \frac{b_\phi}{2})$. Invariance under further dimensional uplifting follows by reversing the steps which led to equations (22) and (23).
- [14] A. Kehagias and K. Sfetsos,
Phys. Lett. B **454**, 270 (1999) [hep-th/9902125];
S. S. Gubser, hep-th/9902155;
L. Girardello, M. Petrini, M. Porrati and A. Zaffaroni,
JHEP **05**, 026 (1999) [hep-th/9903026].
- [15] H. Liu and J. M. Overduin,
Astrophys. J. **538**, 386 (2000) [gr-qc/0003034];
A. Bhattacharjee, A. Das, L. Greenwood and S. Panda,
Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **21**, 1250056 (2012) arXiv:1112.0887 [hep-th].
- [16] K. S. Virbhadra and G. F. R. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 103004 (2002);
Z. Kovacs and T. Harko,
Phys. Rev. D **82**, 124047 (2010) [arXiv:1011.4127 [gr-qc]];
A. N. Chowdhury, M. Patil, D. Malafarina and P. S. Joshi,
Phys. Rev. D **85**, 104031 (2012) arXiv:1112.2522 [gr-qc];
M. Patil and P. S. Joshi,
Phys. Rev. D **85**, 104014 (2012) [arXiv:1112.2525 [gr-qc]].